

New Mexico - Chama
FY 2006 Ranking Criteria Worksheet - Grazing Lands

Applicant: _____ Farm No. _____ Tract No. _____ CMS Field No's _____ Date: _____

Tribal Land _____ Non-Tribal Land _____ Preliminary Rating _____ Final Rating _____

1. Plants - 60 Potential Points (25% Total)

Pick one or use all three of the methods below	% Area in Contract Before Treatment	% Area in Contract After Treatment	Potential Points	Bench mark Points	After Points
Deferment Period	>75% of Growing Season		20		
	51-75% of Growing Season		10		
	26-50% of Growing Season		5		
	0-25% of Growing Season		0		
Grazing Plan	Intensive Grazing		20		
	Seasonal Grazing		10		
	Continuous Grazing		0		
Stocking Rate: Based on Loamy WP-2 Si 26-50	> 11 Acres/ 1 AUM		20		
	9-11 Acres/ 1 AUM		10		
	6.5-8.9 Acres/ 1 AUM		5		
	<6.4 Acres/ 1 AUM		0		
(Irr. Lands) Stocking Rate: Based Soils Data	1 AUM/> 5.5 acres		20		
	1AUM/ 4-5.5 acres		10		
	1 AUM/2.9-3.9 acres		5		
	1 AUM/< 2.9 acres		0		
		1.Plants	Total:		

2. Other Considerations - 35 Potential Points (15% of Total)

Below are some suggested, not required, criteria. If there are other criteria the D.C. wants to recommend based on LWG advice, please include them here.	Potential Points	Points - After
A. Habitat improved specifically for wildlife.	4	
B. Treatment of this land could have a beneficial impact on a 303d listed stream segment.	4	
C. Treatment of this land could enhance the benefits of an active or planned sec. 319 project.	4	
D. This land is within a NMED designated Category I Watershed.	4	
E. Proposed contracted area will be treated to eradicate and/or prevent infestation of Class A and/or Class B noxious weeds, as designated by NMDA.	15	
F. This land is involved with other conservation enhancement programs such as Partners for Wildlife, NMG&F, ect.	4	
2. Other Considerations	Total:	

New Mexico - Chama
FY 2006 Ranking Criteria Worksheet - Grazing Lands

Applicant: _____ Farm No. _____ Tract No. _____ CMS Field No's _____ Date: _____

Tribal Land _____ Non-Tribal Land _____ Preliminary Rating _____ Final Rating _____

3. Conservation Practices Selection - 146 Potential Points (60% of Total)

Any practice used in the ranking criteria and intended to be included in the EQIP Contract must be a cost-shared practice or have an incentive payment. Higher priority (value) should be given to those practices which address multiple resource concerns, are cost effective, and have longer life spans. Max. points per practice 1

	Potential Points	Percent of Need to be Installed	Points - After
Soil Erosion (Sheet & Rill)	25		
Grazing Land Mechanical Treatment (548)	5		
Grade Stabilization Structure (410)	5		
Range Planting (550)	5		
Brush Management (314)	5		
Fencing (382)	5		
Water Quantity (Overland Flow)	25		
Spring Development (574)	5		
Pond (378) Pond Sealing (521)	5		
Well (642)	5		
Pumping Plant (533)	5		
Livestock Pipeline (516) & Storage (614)	5		
Water Quality (Surface Water Contaminants)	25		
Well (642)	5		
Pumping Plant (533)	5		
Livestock Pipeline (516) & Storage (614)	5		
Pond (378) Pond Sealing (521)	5		
Fencing (382)	5		
Air (Surface water containments, Sediment)	15		
Range Planting (550)	5		
Tree & Shrub Establishment (612)	5		
Windbreaks (380)	5		
Plants (Suitability & Condition)	20		
Range Planting (550)	5		
Tree & Shrub Establishment (612)	5		
Fencing (382)	5		
Brush Management (314)	5		
Animals (Habitat)	36		
Range Planting (550)	4		
Windbreaks (380)	4		
Stream Habitat Improvement (395)	4		
Pond (378) Pond Sealing (521)	4		
Well (642)	4		
Pumping Plant (533)	4		
Livestock Pipeline (516) & Storage (614)	4		
Upland Wildlife Habitat Management (645)	4		
Wildlife Watering Facility (648)	4		
Total:			

Designated Conservationist _____

Date _____